

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/534,321

Applicant(s)

GROVE ET AL.

Examiner

Quang N. Nguyen

Art Unit

2141

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Quang N. Nguyen.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mrs. Wendi R. Schepler.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 28 October 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 66.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner contacted the applicant's representative, Mrs. Wendi R. Schepler to request an oral Election/Restrictions for the application SN 09/534,321 and a provision election was made without traverse that claim 66 is withdrawn from further examination as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required